OPEN: Physical Object		Entrant name: Project:		Check if youth entry:	
Background Information	References:	Context:	Object Comparison:	Process Comparison:	
Up to 10 Points Each item is worth 1 point	Five sources minimum, cited consistently*	 Describes the period item's use, time/place within the SCA timeframe, and who used it 	Describes the material(s) used to construct the period item and how they relate to its use	Describes the method/processes historically used to construct such period items	
	 Uses secondary and/or scholarly sources (exhibit materials, textbooks, museum blogs, etc.); primary sources strongly encouraged if available 	 Includes image(s) of period item(s), labeled/captioned clearly with source 	AND discusses any variations in materials found in period items	 Describes the tools historically used to construct such period item(s) 	Use whole or half points only
* Standard style strongly recommended but not required (MLA, APA, Chicago, etc.)			 AND discusses or compares varying forms of the period item (if they exist), with images of different forms, labeled/captioned clearly 	AND discusses the larger context in which the period item is used or produced	BACKGROUND INFORMATION POINTS:

Materials	1 Point	2 Points	3-4 Points	5-6 Points	
Up to 6 Points Select best fit from columns at right	Lists all material(s) used to construct the entry item (including materials not visible on the finished item!)	AND explains any deviations and/or substitutions of materials used on entry item (if applicable)	AND discusses the effect(s) deviations or substitutions might have on the construction of the entry item (if applicable) AND materials used for the entry accurately reproduce the important characteristic of the period item. Any substitutions do not affect look, feel, or function.		
			AND/OR discusses the effect of deviations or substitutions on the function or use of the entry item (if applicable)	OR all materials used are period and correct for the item	MATERIALS POINTS:

Manufacture	1-2 Points 3-4 Points		5-6 Points 7-8 Points		
Up to 8 Points: Select best fit from columns at right	Entrant outlines the basic method used to construct the entry item	Entrant describes plan for constructing the entry item in greater detail	Entrant explains all stages of construction as needed (from layout or drafting of pattern to finishing, etc.)	AND entrant discusses the development of any specific processes or advanced skills needed to construct the entry item*	Use whole or half points only
*Note that the entrant may have had to learn new skills: This may not be apparent from the entry item	Lists all tool(s) & supplementary elements used to construct the entry item	Entrant describes how they used the tools to make the entry item	Entrant compares how tools used (modern vs. period) to make the entry item might affect the construction process vs. tool(s) used in period	AND entrant discusses knowledge gained, such as improvements or changes that could refine this type of project in the future	MANUFACTURE POINTS:

Craft	1 Point	2 Points	3 Points	4 Points	5 Points	
Up to 5 points: Select best fit from columns at right **Workmanship may exceed that of period but is not required to do so	The entry item is incomplete or is falling apart	Item is complete but has structural issues that will impair its use	Item is structurally sound but has unexplained flaws or inconsistencies that may limit its expected lifespan	Item is structurally sound and entrant discusses any flaws/inconsistencies and how they may be remedied in the future	Item is structurally sound, consistent, and durable for the expected full lifespan**	Use whole or half points only CRAFT POINTS:

Historic Aesthetic	1 Point	2 Points	3 Points	4 Points	5 Points	
Up to 5 points:						Use whole or half points only
Select best fit from columns at right Applies to the entry itself,	The entry item has an entirely modern appearance as compared to the period item	Some modern materials or methods are visible in its construction	Entrant effectively concealed all evidence of non-period elements used	Entry item appearance is generally consistent with relevant surviving period examples	Entry item appearance is entirely consistent with examples from period	HISTORIC AESTHETIC POINTS:
no penalty for non-period equipment						/5

Complexity	1 Point	2 Points	3 Points	4-5 Points	5-6 Points	
Up to 6 points: Select best fit from columns at right One piece item, single forgiving material; item required minimal research, item required little time to make	single forgiving material; item required minimal research, item	One piece item, single material but requires more than basic skill to make,* requires basic research, requires	Item has more than one component and/or uses one or more material(s) that required the entrant to learn specific skills, OR the entry required a	Entry required significant research to guide the construction process	Entry required extensive research to inform the project (e.g. many primary sources, translation from foreign languages, etc.)	
	required little	substantial amount of construction time	And/or:	And/or:	Use whole or half points only	
*Some basic materials are deceptively difficult to work with				Entry required some experimentation in order to understand and develop historic methods/processes	Entry required extensive experimentation in order to understand and develop historic methods/processes	COMPLEXITY POINTS:

Judge's Name: Add prior subtotals >>>	BACKROUND INFORMATION 10	
Judge's Comments: (use additional room on back if needed)	MATERIALS 6	
	MANUFACTURE 8	
	CRAFT 5	
	HISTORIC AESTHETIC 5	
	COMPLEXITY 6	
Judge's Discretion: up to 3 points	JUDGE'S DISCRETION	
Judges may award for excellence in aspects not covered by the rubric or for going above and beyond in one or more areas	3	
	TOTAL POINTS	/ 40